



Let's Talk about Climate Change: Northwest Region



Office of the Chief Ecosystem Scientist

Version 1.1 (August 28, 2017)

Let's Talk about Climate Change: Northwest Region

Scott Parker
Parks Canada, Office of the Chief Ecosystem Scientist
248 Big Tub Road, Tobermory, Ontario N0H 2R0
e. scott.parker@pc.gc.ca

Special thanks to: Gilles Seutin, Patrick Nantel, Ryan Eagleson, Yanick Laliberté Tremblay, Liz Nelson, Cavan Harpur, Dan McKenney, Pia Papadopol, Jean-François Gobeil, Gavin Manson, Nicole Couture, Claire LaCroix, Carmen Wong, Doug Tate, David Tavares, Paul Zorn and Elyse Mathieu.

This report is one in a series that provides a regional summary of observed and projected climate change trends and impacts facing all of Canada's national parks, national marine conservation areas and certain national historic sites. This is an internal document to Parks Canada and is intended to encourage and inform broader conversations and support place-based actions on climate change.

The "Let's Talk about Climate Change" series regions are defined by biogeoclimatic and operational similarities, and include: 1) Atlantic Region; 2) Quebec Region; 3) Great Lakes Region; 4) Prairie and Boreal Plains Region; 5) Mountain Region; 6) Pacific Region; 7) Northwest Region; and, 8) Arctic and Hudson Plains Region.

This report and others in the series are available at the Parks Canada Climate Change SharePoint Website: <http://collaboration/sites/PD010/SitePages/Home.aspx>

Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
1.1. “Natural Solution” Concept	1
2. Observed and Projected Climate Trends.....	3
2.1 Methods.....	3
2.2 Regional Climate Change Summaries	3
2.2.1 Physical Effects.....	3
Chilkoot Trail National Historic Site	7
Dawson Historical Complex National Historic Site	8
Ivvavik National Park	9
Kluane National Park Reserve	10
Nááts’ihch’oh National Park Reserve	11
Nahanni National Park Reserve	12
Sahoyúé-şehdacho National Historic Site	13
Vuntut National Park	14
2.2.2 Other Effects	19
Ecosystems and Biodiversity	19
Visitor Experience	20
Assets and Infrastructure.....	21
Cultural Resources	21
3. Climate Change Actions	23
3.1 Adaptation.....	23
3.2 Mitigation.....	24
3.3 Possible Next Steps.....	25
4. References	27
Appendix 1. Climate Change Projections	36

1. Introduction

The fifth and most recent report from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2014) establishes with certainty that the Earth's climate system is warming, "and since the 1950's, many of the observed changes are unprecedented over decades to millennia". Human activity has increased atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gas (GHG) to levels not observed in at least the last 800,000 years. Worldwide, a rapidly changing climate is having profound impact on our social-ecological systems, amplifying existing risks and creating new ones.

Canada's rate of warming is about double the global rate (even greater in the north) and the last three decades have been the warmest 30-year period in at least 1,400 years. Some of the observed changes include shifts in species distribution and abundance, glacier loss, thawing permafrost, decreasing sea ice, earlier ice break-ups, increasing wildfires, sea level rise along some coasts, changes to phenology, and an increase in extreme weather events such as heat waves, droughts, heavy rainfall and more (e.g., Lemmen *et al.*, 2016; Warren and Lemmen, 2014). The risks and impacts are felt across Parks Canada, from the ecosystems and cultural resources we protect, to the facilities and infrastructure we build and maintain, to the visitor experiences we offer - and most concerning, these impacts are projected to increase for decades to come. It is an uncertain and complex context, one which will test the adaptive capacity and effectiveness of policy, planning, and management frameworks.

To advance place-based climate response efforts, this document is one in a series of regional reports that provides accessible summary information about climate change in Canada's national parks, national marine conservation areas (NMCAs) and certain national historic sites. For some sites this will support preliminary conversations on climate change and for others the content will be eclipsed by a need for more detailed vulnerability assessments, adaptation strategies and decision support tools. Regardless, the intent is to encourage and equip individuals and sites to talk about climate change, both internally and externally, and consider the challenges in their own context.

1.1. "Natural Solution" Concept

As a lead conservation and protected area agency, and the largest federal land owner and third largest federal asset manager in the country, Parks Canada's response to climate change is a matter of importance. Part of the response will involve recognizing and positioning protected areas as a "natural solution" to climate change in regional, national and international plans and programs (e.g., Dudley *et al.*, 2010; Lopoukhine *et al.*, 2012; NAWPA, 2012).

As a "natural solution", well-designed and effectively managed protected areas:

- Mitigate climate change through the sequestration and storage of carbon in forests, coastal wetlands ("blue carbon") and other natural ecosystems.
- Protect biodiversity by providing a safe haven for species.
- Enhance connectivity and species movements within and across protected area networks.

- Provide essential ecosystem goods and services, such as clean water, erosion control, flood/storm water protection, genetic diversity, cultural opportunities, etc...
- Serve as a benchmark for climate change related research and monitoring.
- Provide a context for social learning, good governance, and adaptive management.
- Help people and communities cope by supporting sustainable and resilient economies in and around protected areas and promoting social well-being (e.g., healthy parks – healthy people).
- Demonstrate environmental stewardship through “green” design and conservation practices.
- Create and facilitate meaningful experiences that help to inspire, inform, and guide actions in response to climate change.




Figure 1. National Parks (NP), National Park Reserves (NPR) and National Historic Sites (NHS) included in this regional assessment.

2. Observed and Projected Climate Trends

This section provides site specific summaries of historic observed temperature and precipitation trends as well as future climate projections. These are only a subset of the climate variables available for analysis and presentation (see Appendix 1).

2.1 Methods

Historic observed mean monthly temperature and total precipitation data was accessed from the Adjusted and Homogenized Canadian Climate Data website (<http://www.ec.gc.ca/dccha-ahccd>) for the climatological stations within or closest to each protected area. Temperature and precipitation stations were not always in the same location and preference was given to selecting stations with the longest and most current data for a protected area. Of note, finding a representative station was challenged by the fact that there are fewer stations with limited distribution for this region. All available years were plotted and the trend was determined using a generalized linear model (R Core Team, 2014) including 95% confidence intervals. For the analysis, winter = December, January and February; spring = March, April and May; summer = June, July and August; and, autumn = September, October and November.

A table with future climate change projections was determined for the centre of each protected area. Season by season descriptions were provided to complement the earlier park-based assessments by Scott and Suffling (2000) and to help inform seasonal operations and activities. The climate projections were determined following Price *et al.* (2011) and used the average of four General Circulation Models (GCMs) and the lowest and highest Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) GHG scenarios (Vuuren *et al.*, 2011). The RCP 2.6 (lowest) scenario assumes that we take action and GHG emissions peak in 2010-2020 and decline thereafter. The RCP 8.5 (highest) scenario assumes we take no action and emissions continue to rise throughout the 21st century. Figures 2 and 3 also include a projection based on the RCP 4.5 scenario, where emissions peak around 2040 and then decline.

Vertical allowance for Ivvavik NP was acquired from the Canadian Extreme Water Level Adaptation Tool (CAN-EWLAT, <http://www.bio.gc.ca/science/data-donnees/index-en.php>). The vertical allowance is a “recommended change in the elevation of coastal infrastructure required to maintain the current level of flooding risk in a future scenario of sea level rise”. This estimate is based on a future projection of regional sea level rise using the RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 scenarios and historical water level records, including both tides and storm surge. The historical records do not incorporate predicted changes in storm tides.

2.2 Regional Climate Change Summaries

2.2.1 Physical Effects

- The annual air temperature for the region has increased by 1.0 – 2.5°C since the 1950's, nearly twice the rate of southern Canada and the globe (DeBeer *et al.*, 2016; Streicker, 2016). The warming has been slightly greater for the nighttime versus daytime period (Vincent *et al.*, 2012). Seasonally, the greatest warming has occurred in the winter (~4°C)

with spring arriving as much as 5 to 20 days earlier (Vincent *et al.*, 2015). This warming trend is projected to continue and model results indicate a further increase of 2-8°C by 2100, depending on the location and RCP scenario applied (see figure 2).

- Precipitation patterns have been variable, with a slight increase in total annual precipitation being observed in most areas (e.g., increased 6% in past 50 years reported by Streicker, 2016). This trend is expected to continue, with the greatest increase in winter and spring precipitation amounts (e.g., 8-23% by 2100) (Lemmen *et al.*, 2016).
- Although there are local differences in the magnitude of sea level change due to factors such as vertical land motion (e.g., glacial isostatic rebound) and ocean currents, regionally (i.e., Tuktoyaktuk Hydrographic Station) sea levels are rising at ~1.28 mm/yr and projections indicate another 30-87 cm rise by 2100, which is at or close to the global mean (<http://www.psmsl.org/data>; James *et al.*, 2014).
- Increasingly, the permafrost is thawing or degrading (e.g., Lyon and Destouni, 2010; Smith, 2010; Turner, 2013; Warren and Lemmen, 2014). In some areas, particularly where it is discontinuous, this is leading to decreased substrate stability, surface subsidence, waterlogging, increase in water flows, thermokarst development and collapse of forested peat plateaus, as well as an associated release of GHG (e.g., Baltzer *et al.*, 2014; de Grandpre *et al.*, 2012; Segal *et al.*, 2016; Walvoord and Kurylyk, 2016; Warren *et al.*, 2013).
- Zhang *et al.* (2013) modelled climate change impacts to permafrost in Ivvavik NP and reported that while progressive degradation and deepening of the active layer will continue, permafrost will persist in most of the park during the 21st century.
- Snow cover duration and extent has declined in recent decades (e.g., Derksen and Brown, 2012; Derksen *et al.*, 2012).
- Since the mid-20th century the duration of ice cover on lakes and rivers has generally reduced and spring breakup is occurring one to several weeks earlier (Beltaos and Prowse, 2009; Prowse, 2012). This trend is expected to continue with breakup dates advancing by 1 to 3½ weeks and freeze-up dates being delayed by up to 2 weeks (Dibike *et al.*, 2012; Warren and Lemmen, 2014).
- Sea ice is melting and the Arctic Ocean is projected to be ice-free in summer in the first half of this century (IPCC, 2014; Streicker, 2016).
- Glaciers across the region are retreating and showing a negative net mass balance (Demuth and Ednie, 2016; Flowers *et al.*, 2014; Moore *et al.*, 2009). It is estimated that by 2100, “the volume of glacier ice in western Canada will shrink by 70 +/- 10% relative to 2005” (Clarke *et al.*, 2015). The Bologna Glacier (Nahanni NPR) area has declined by 14% since 1984 and melt water discharge into the South Nahanni River has increased (Anderson, 2017).
- Trends in river flow vary regionally and over time, however there appears to be a general increase in winter flows, while summer flows remain inconsistent (DeBeer *et al.*, 2016). Long-term trend indicate an increased discharge at the mouth of the Mackenzie River from variable and changing climate, including permafrost degradation (Dery *et al.*, 2016; Rood *et al.*, 2017).
- Areas currently prone to high wind events will continue to be vulnerable. There is some suggestion that extreme events will increase slightly in the region (Cheng *et al.*, 2014).

Mean Annual Temperature

Change from 1980-2010 Baseline




Figure 2. Temperature projections represent a composite (average) of four spatially interpolated downscaled Global Circulation Models: CanESM2, CESM1CAM5, HADGEM2ES and MIROCESM, using three greenhouse gas scenarios (RCPs) for three future time periods. Climate data provided by Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Sault Ste. Marie (<http://cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/projects/3>).

Total Annual Precipitation

Change from 1980-2010 Baseline





Figure 3. Precipitation projections represent a composite (average) of four spatially interpolated downscaled Global Circulation Models: CanESM2, CESM1CAM5, HADGEM2ES and MIROCESM, using three greenhouse gas scenarios (RCPs) for three future time periods. Climate data provided by Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Sault Ste. Marie (<http://cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/projects/3>).


Chilkoot Trail National Historic Site

A. Mean Temperature



Seasonal mean temperature at Atlin Climatological Station (1200560) from 1905 to 2015. A significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for winter ($0.032^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{yr}$), spring ($0.013^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{yr}$) and summer ($0.012^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{yr}$). No significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for autumn.

B. Total Precipitation




Seasonal total precipitation at Atlin Climatological Station (1200560) from 1906 to 2015. A significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for winter ($0.33\text{mm}/\text{yr}$), spring ($0.18\text{mm}/\text{yr}$), summer ($0.39\text{mm}/\text{yr}$) and autumn ($0.2\text{mm}/\text{yr}$).

C. Climate Change Projection for Centre of Historic Site Relative to 1981-2010 Baseline Mean (Appendix 1)

Increase / decrease in:	2011-2040		2041-2070		2071-2100	
Max Winter Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	7.1	to 7.7	8.1	to 10.1	8.7	to 12.6
Max Spring Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	1.6	to 1.9	2.4	to 3.7	2.5	to 6.1
Max Summer Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	2.9	to 3.0	3.8	to 5.5	3.7	to 8.3
Max Autumn Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	7.2	to 7.4	7.9	to 9.4	7.8	to 11.8
Min Winter Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	0.3	to 1.0	1.6	to 4.1	2.4	to 7.6
Min Spring Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	1.7	to 2.0	2.6	to 4.3	2.8	to 7.2
Min Summer Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	1.1	to 1.1	1.9	to 3.4	1.9	to 5.9
Min Autumn Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	-8.0	to -8.3	-5.7	to -7.5	-3.2	to -7.6
Precipitation in Winter	-52.0	to -54%	-47%	to -53%	-50%	to -44%
Precipitation in Spring	-47.4	to -48%	-15%	to -48%	-47%	to -41%
Precipitation in Summer	-24.0	to -24%	-20%	to -21%	-19%	to -19%
Precipitation in Autumn	-38.4	to -45%	-33%	to -36%	-35%	to -26%
Advance in start of growing season (# days)	12.8	to 20.2	8.2	to 9.8	13.8	to 34.6


Dawson Historical Complex National Historic Site

A. Mean Temperature



Seasonal mean temperature at Dawson Climatological Station (2100LRP) from 1901 to 2015. A significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for winter (0.035°C/yr), spring (0.027°C/yr) and summer (0.013°C/yr). No significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for autumn.

B. Total Precipitation




Seasonal total precipitation at Dawson Climatological Station (2100402) from 1901 to 2015. No significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for winter, spring, summer or autumn.

C. Climate Change Projection for Centre of Historic Site Relative to 1981-2010 Baseline Mean (Appendix 1)

Increase / decrease in:	2011-2040		2041-2070		2071-2100	
Max Winter Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	8.8	to 9.4	10.2	to 12.7	10.6	to 16.2
Max Spring Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	0.9	to 1.4	2.1	to 3.8	2.2	to 16.5
Max Summer Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	1.9	to 1.9	2.6	to 4.1	2.5	to 6.7
Max Autumn Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	12.2	to 12.0	12.7	to 14.2	12.6	to 16.7
Min Winter Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	0.5	to 1.1	2.1	to 4.9	2.5	to 9.2
Min Spring Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	1.8	to 2.3	2.9	to 5.0	3.2	to 8.4
Min Summer Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	2.3	to 2.3	3.0	to 4.5	3.0	to 7.1
Min Autumn Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	-18.6	to -18.8	-16.2	to -18.0	-13.5	to -18.1
Precipitation in Winter	26%	to 29%	31%	to 44%	39%	to 66%
Precipitation in Spring	20%	to 21%	14%	to 33%	23%	to 59%
Precipitation in Summer	1%	to 6%	10%	to 17%	12%	to 26%
Precipitation in Autumn	25%	to 50%	29%	to 47%	37%	to 72%
Advance in start of growing season (# days)	10.8	to 16.4	7.8	to 8.6	11.8	to 26.8


Ivvavik National Park

A. Mean Temperature



Seasonal mean temperature at Komakuk Beach Climatological Station (2100682) from 1958 to 2015. A significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for winter ($0.034^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{yr}$), spring ($0.042^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{yr}$), summer ($0.038^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{yr}$) and autumn ($0.036^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{yr}$).

B. Total Precipitation




Seasonal total precipitation at Komakuk Beach Climatological Station (2100685) from 1959 to 1993. A significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for winter ($0.44\text{mm}/\text{yr}$) and spring ($0.5\text{mm}/\text{yr}$). No significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for summer or autumn.

C. Climate Change Projection for Centre of Park Relative to 1981-2010 Baseline Mean (Appendix 1)

Geo-centroid: $139^{\circ} 51' 13.66'' \text{ W}$, $69^{\circ} 06' 09.78'' \text{ N}$; elevation 672m


Increase / decrease in:	2011-2040		2041-2070		2071-2100	
Mean Winter Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	1.6	to 2.7	3.8	to 7.8	3.9	to 14.0
Mean Spring Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	-0.1	to -0.3	0.8	to 3.3	1.2	to 7.8
Mean Summer Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	-0.1	to 0.0	0.6	to 2.2	0.7	to 5.0
Mean Autumn Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	1.9	to 1.9	3.1	to 4.8	3.1	to 8.0
Precipitation in Winter	-25%	to -27%	-12%	to -24%	-19%	to 2%
Precipitation in Spring	-23%	to -23%	-15%	to -22%	-2%	to -18%
Precipitation in Summer	8%	to 8%	16%	to 25%	17%	to 35%
Precipitation in Autumn	8%	to 9%	14%	to 30%	15%	to 46%
Number of days of growing season	2.0	to 3.0	8.0	to 19.0	9.0	to 39.0
Growing degree-days during growing season	-3%	to -7%	21%	to 87%	23%	to 233%
Advance in start of growing season (days)	1.0	to 1.0	1.0	to 6.0	1.0	to 17.0
Climate Moisture Index (sum May-Sept)	6.3	to 6.3	5.2	to 6.1	2.3	to 6.1

D. Sea Level Vertical Allowance, Tuktoyaktuk, NT




Kluane National Park Reserve

A. Mean Temperature



Seasonal mean temperature at Haines Junction Climatological Station (2100630) from 1944 to 2015. A significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for winter ($0.067^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{yr}$), spring ($0.032^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{yr}$) and summer ($0.019^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{yr}$). No significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for autumn.

B. Total Precipitation



Seasonal total precipitation at Haines Junction Climatological Station (2100631) from 1945 to 2008. A significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for winter ($0.9\text{mm}/\text{yr}$). No significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for spring, summer or autumn.


C. Climate Change Projection for Centre of Park Relative to 1981-2010 Baseline Mean (Appendix 1)

Geo-centroid: $139^{\circ} 12' 08.26'' \text{W}$, $60^{\circ} 37' 53.06'' \text{N}$; elevation 2607m

Increase / decrease in:	2011-2040		2041-2070		2071-2100	
Mean Winter Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	-0.8	to -1.4	-0.2	to 2.0	0.5	to 4.9
Mean Spring Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	-0.4	to 0.0	0.5	to 2.0	0.8	to 4.4
Mean Summer Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	1.1	to 1.0	1.9	to 3.3	1.8	to 5.9
Mean Autumn Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	0.7	to 0.9	1.5	to 3.1	1.4	to 5.5
Precipitation in Winter	-22%	to -24%	-14%	to -21%	-6%	to -18%
Precipitation in Spring	-14%	to -14%	-12%	to -14%	-3%	to -12%
Precipitation in Summer	-16%	to -18%	-13%	to -14%	-8%	to -11%
Precipitation in Autumn	-15%	to -16%	-9%	to -13%	-1%	to -12%
Climate Moisture Index (sum May-Sept)	43.5	to 44.1	41.1	to 43.4	40.7	to 45.1


Nááts'ihch'oh National Park Reserve

A. Mean Temperature



Seasonal mean temperature at Fort Simpson Climatological Station (2202103) from 1895 to 2015. A significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for winter (0.034°C/yr), spring (0.04°C/yr), summer (0.016°C/yr) and autumn (0.012°C/yr).

B. Total Precipitation



Seasonal total precipitation at Fort Simpson Climatological Station (2202101) from 1898 to 2014. A significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for winter (0.53mm/yr), spring (0.24mm/yr), summer (0.74mm/yr) and autumn (0.48mm/yr).


C. Climate Change Projection for Centre of Park Relative to 1981-2010 Baseline Mean (Appendix 1)

Geo-centroid: $128^{\circ} 32' 44.76''$ W, $62^{\circ} 40' 18.39''$ N; elevation 1471m

Increase / decrease in:	2011-2040		2041-2070		2071-2100	
Mean Winter Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	-0.5	to 0.0	0.7	to 3.3	1.4	to 6.8
Mean Spring Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	-0.2	to 0.1	0.6	to 2.3	0.9	to 5.4
Mean Summer Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	1.6	to 1.6	2.3	to 3.9	2.3	to 6.7
Mean Autumn Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	1.7	to 2.0	2.5	to 4.2	2.4	to 7.0
Precipitation in Winter	-24%	to -25%	-15%	to -24%	-5%	to -20%
Precipitation in Spring	-18%	to -20%	-10%	to -18%	-17%	to 0%
Precipitation in Summer	5%	to 6%	13%	to 14%	11%	to 19%
Precipitation in Autumn	-2%	to -3%	3%	to 10%	6%	to 27%
Number of days of growing season	12.0	to 14.0	19.0	to 34.0	23.0	to 62.0
Growing degree-days during growing season	51%	to 52%	77%	to 146%	79%	to 272%
Advance in start of growing season (days)	6.0	to 6.0	10.0	to 17.0	12.0	to 26.0
Climate Moisture Index (sum May-Sept)	7.3	to 7.8	4.7	to 7.8	-0.9	to 7.5


Nahanni National Park Reserve

A. Mean Temperature



Seasonal mean temperature at Fort Simpson Climatological Station (2202103) from 1895 to 2015. A significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for winter (0.034°C/yr), spring (0.04°C/yr), summer (0.016°C/yr) and autumn (0.012°C/yr).

B. Total Precipitation



Seasonal total precipitation at Fort Simpson Climatological Station (2202101) from 1898 to 2014. A significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for winter (0.53mm/yr), spring (0.24mm/yr), summer (0.74mm/yr) and autumn (0.48mm/yr).


C. Climate Change Projection for Centre of Park Relative to 1981-2010 Baseline Mean (Appendix 1)

Geo-centroid: $125^{\circ} 54' 14''\text{W}$, $61^{\circ} 36' 37''\text{N}$; elevation 914 m

Increase / decrease in:	2011-2040		2041-2070		2071-2100	
Mean Winter Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	2.0	to 2.5	3.1	to 5.7	3.8	to 9.3
Mean Spring Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	0.8	to 1.0	1.7	to 3.3	1.9	to 6.4
Mean Summer Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	1.3	to 1.4	2.0	to 3.7	2.0	to 6.5
Mean Autumn Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	2.6	to 2.4	3.2	to 4.9	3.1	to 7.7
Precipitation in Winter	3%	to 3%	5%	to 15%	8%	to 27%
Precipitation in Spring	26%	to 30%	33%	to 45%	33%	to 60%
Precipitation in Summer	34%	to 38%	44%	to 45%	41%	to 46%
Precipitation in Autumn	27%	to 27%	37%	to 42%	42%	to 67%
Number of days of growing season	18.0	to 19.0	24.0	to 38.0	25.0	to 61.0
Growing degree-days during growing season	27%	to 27%	40%	to 76%	43%	to 146%
Advance in start of growing season (days)	9.0	to 9.0	12.0	to 16.0	13.0	to 28.0
Climate Moisture Index (sum May-Sept)	0.2	to 1.3	-2.7	to 0.9	-9.2	to 0.1


Sahoyué-šehdacho National Historic Site

A. Mean Temperature



Seasonal mean temperature at Norman Wells Climatological Station (2202801) from 1943 to 2015. A significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for winter ($0.053^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{yr}$) and summer ($0.022^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{yr}$). No significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for spring or autumn.

B. Total Precipitation



Seasonal total precipitation at Norman Wells Climatological Station (2202800) from 1943 to 2012. No significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for winter, spring, summer or autumn.


C. Climate Change Projection for Centre of Historic Site Relative to 1981-2010 Baseline Mean (Appendix 1)

Geo-centroid: $121^{\circ} 42' 07.74'' \text{ W}$, $65^{\circ} 39' 04.20'' \text{ N}$; elevation 155m

Increase / decrease in:	2011-2040		2041-2070		2071-2100	
Mean Winter Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	0.9	to 1.7	2.6	to 5.8	2.8	to 10.9
Mean Spring Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	2.0	to 2.3	3.1	to 5.2	3.3	to 9.1
Mean Summer Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	2.5	to 2.7	3.2	to 5.0	3.4	to 7.7
Mean Autumn Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	2.6	to 2.7	3.5	to 5.2	3.4	to 8.3
Precipitation in Winter	10%	to 11%	15%	to 26%	22%	to 50%
Precipitation in Spring	11%	to 11%	13%	to 24%	12%	to 44%
Precipitation in Summer	27%	to 28%	38%	to 40%	37%	to 48%
Precipitation in Autumn	8%	to 9%	16%	to 27%	21%	to 45%
Number of days of growing season	26.0	to 27.0	33.0	to 42.0	33.0	to 60.0
Growing degree-days during growing season	49%	to 53%	64%	to 104%	70%	to 176%
Advance in start of growing season (days)	13.0	to 13.0	15.0	to 20.0	17.0	to 29.0
Climate Moisture Index (sum May-Sept)	-11.2	to -11.5	-11.6	to -14.7	-12.2	to -19.8


Vuntut National Park

A. Mean Temperature



Seasonal mean temperature at Old Crow Climatological Station (2100805) from 1951 to 2015. A significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for winter (0.088°C/yr), spring (0.043°C/yr), summer (0.041°C/yr) and autumn (0.029°C/yr).

B. Total Precipitation



Seasonal total precipitation at Old Crow Climatological Station (2100800) from 1952 to 2015. A significant trend ($P < 0.05$) observed for winter (0.68mm/yr), spring (0.63mm/yr), summer (1.12mm/yr) and autumn (1.1mm/yr).

C. Climate Change Projection for Centre of Park Relative to 1981-2010 Baseline Mean (Appendix 1)

Geo-centroid: long. $139^{\circ} 51' 53.38''$ W – lat. $68^{\circ} 23' 24.39''$ N; elevation 377 m

Increase / decrease in:	2011-2040		2041-2070		2071-2100	
Mean Winter Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	1.7	to 2.6	3.7	to 7.5	3.8	to 13.2
Mean Spring Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	0.5	to 0.8	1.7	to 4.1	2.0	to 8.3
Mean Summer Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	0.1	to 0.2	0.9	to 2.4	0.9	to 5.2
Mean Autumn Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	1.8	to 1.8	2.9	to 4.6	2.9	to 7.7
Precipitation in Winter	-18%	to -20%	-5%	to -18%	-11%	to 9%
Precipitation in Spring	-7%	to -7%	-7%	to 2%	-2%	to 18%
Precipitation in Summer	10%	to 10%	18%	to 27%	20%	to 40%
Precipitation in Autumn	13%	to 13%	18%	to 36%	20%	to 54%
Number of days of growing season	4.0	to 5.0	10.0	to 23.0	12.0	to 40.0
Growing degree-days during growing season	4%	to 5%	19%	to 58%	21%	to 132%
Advance in start of growing season (days)	2.0	to 3.0	6.0	to 14.0	7.0	to 22.0
Climate Moisture Index (sum May-Sept)	-3.5	to -3.7	-4.2	to -5.7	-4.1	to -9.4




Figure 4. Projected increase in wildfire season length in days from baseline (1981-2010) by 2071-2100 under RCP 2.6 and 8.5 scenarios (data source: <http://cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/fc-data-catalogue>).










Figure 5. The complex ecosystem linkages and interactions to climate change (from Nantel *et al.*, 2014).






Figure 6. Percentage of projected species turnover (50 km x 50 km grid) relative to current species occurrence, assuming full dispersal (i.e., species can move into new areas) using ten coupled atmosphere-ocean general circulations models (AOGCMS) as in Lawler *et al.* (2009) and the A2 emission scenario. **Species turnover** is calculated as a composite measure of **species loss** (i.e., % of species currently in a cell whose projected future range does not include the cell) and **species gain** (i.e., % increase in species due to range expansion). Data and analysis discussed further in Lindsay *et al.* (2016).




Figure 7. Preliminary map of coastal sensitivity to climate change. Sensitivity is based on coastal materials, landforms, relief, ground ice, wave height, tidal range, recent trends in sea ice concentration, and projected sea level rise to 2050. Data provided by Natural Resources Canada (Couture and Manson, 2016).

2.2.2 Other Effects

Ecosystems and Biodiversity

- Rowland *et al.* (2016) examined climate-biome shifts for protected areas in Yukon. Dramatic shifts are projected for all three national parks. For example, 26% area of Kluane, 58% of Vuntut and 68 % of Ivvavik by 2030 and within the century most of the national park lands are projected to have shifted “climate” at least once. Potential shifts include the conversion of boreal forest to grassland, arctic tundra to shrubland /forest, and alpine areas to forest. Under such a scenario, arctic tundra species (e.g., caribou, lemming, nesting shorebirds) could disappear and be replaced by boreal species (e.g., moose, snowshoe hare, songbirds) (Prowse *et al.*, 2009)
- Species response to climate change will vary with abiotic (e.g., isolated by fragmented landscape or island context, thermal conditions, etc...), biotic (e.g., competition, habitat) and physiological stresses. Some species, or variant forms, will survive and adapt, while others may move or face extinction (e.g., Pecl *et al.*, 2017).
- From a global perspective, vertebrate population abundances have declined by 58% between 1970 and 2012 (WWF, 2016). Projections estimate that ~60% of plants and ~35% of animals will lose over half of their range by 2080 due to climate change (Warren *et al.*, 2013). The median rate of northward migration, for those species where migration is even attainable, is ~16.9 km/decade (Chen *et al.*, 2011).
- Sea-level rise and increases in the frequency and magnitude of storm surges are potential threats to shorebird and waterbird nesting habitat on low-lying landforms (Galbraith *et al.*, 2014).
- “Temperature velocities” for vegetation biomes are estimated to move northward at the rate of 0.43 km/yr for the boreal forest and 0.35 km/yr for the temperate broadleaf forest (Batllori *et al.*, 2017; McKenney *et al.*, 2011; Warren *et al.*, 2013). However, colonization success will vary with (micro)topography, permafrost conditions, dispersal competition, soil, precipitation patterns, disturbance regimes, pollinators and many other factors (e.g., Jacobs *et al.*, 2014; Lafleur *et al.*, 2010; McKenney *et al.*, 2007; Warren *et al.*, 2013). Nationally, it is suggested that vegetation distribution (biomes) will change in over half of Canada’s national park (Scott *et al.*, 2002).
- Increasing “shrubification” of the arctic and alpine tundra is occurring and will have important consequences for herbivore communities, snow distribution, soil chemistry, the albedo effect and other aspects of the ecosystems (Myers-Smith *et al.*, 2011). The conifer tree line appears to be advancing northward and upwards as well, but at a much slower rate (Danby and Hik, 2007).
- Changes in climate will affect predator-prey relationships, e.g., see research on the lynx and snowshoe hare system in Kluane region (Hone *et al.*, 2011).
- Although greatly diminished, some of the mountainous regions of Ivvavik NP and Kluane NP may continue to provide refugia for some arctic plants (McLennan *et al.*, 2012; Rowland *et al.*, 2016). Arctic tundra may be lost from Vuntut NP.
- Animal species will continue to experience range shifts and changes in abundance. (e.g., National Audubon Society, 2015). For example, range expansion of some butterflies have been observed (Leung and Reid, 2013).

- The length of the wildfire season, annual area burned and seasonal severity rating are all projected to increase for the region (Flannigan *et al.*, 2013; Haughian *et al.*, 2012; Seidl *et al.*, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2015).
- Asynchrony between life history events has been observed. Although photoperiod is not changing, other ecological cues are changing, such as temperature, river flow, etc. As well, earlier peaks in insect and plant biomass have been observed and this may mismatch migrant bird hatchling growth and development (Knudsen *et al.*, 2011; Nituch and Bowman, 2013).
- In response to earlier snow melt, arctic passerines and shorebirds are laying eggs earlier (Grabowski *et al.*, 2013).
- With permafrost, glacier and other cryosphere changes, the hydrological cycle will also change and intensify affecting water flow volumes, timing and pathways (Wrona *et al.*, 2016)
- Climate change will influence environmental chemistry and pollutants, including an exacerbation of the effects of acid deposition (lower pH due to higher CO₂ levels), nutrient loading (precipitation events), and mercury toxicity (released under anoxic conditions, warmer waters increase the rate of methylation) (e.g., Michalak, 2016; Noyes *et al.*, 2009).
- The distribution and impacts of pathogens and parasites are expected to increase with warmer temperatures and the northward migration of species (Marcogliese, 2008; 2016; Pickles *et al.*, 2013). Although it requires further study, the observation and further spread of amphibian Ranavirus in Nahanni NPR may have climate change considerations (Schock *et al.*, 2010).
- Conditions, including milder winters and summer drought, may be more favourable for invasive species colonization (Langor *et al.*, 2014; Walther *et al.*, 2009) and for more extensive forest insect and disease outbreaks (e.g., spruce budworm, forest tent caterpillar, gypsy moth) (Warren and Lemmen, 2014; Warren *et al.*, 2013; Weed *et al.*, 2013).

Visitor Experience

- Visitation may increase due to an earlier spring and warmer summer and autumn conditions. Although still relatively low as compared to parks in southern Canada, it may still be necessary to extend the operating season to accommodate visitor demand and safety.
- Decreased snowpack will negatively impact recreational activities such as snowshoeing, skiing, ice fishing, ice travel and snowmobiling.
- Some mosquito-borne diseases also show a connection to climate change, including West Nile virus (note: besides humans it can infect over 140 species including horses, crows, ravens, etc...) (Chen *et al.*, 2013; Kulkarni *et al.*, 2015).
- A longer and more intense fire season will affect visitor safety and experience (e.g., possible area closures, no campfires, or evacuations). This includes relocating river travels downstream in Nahanni NPR (Staple and Wall, 1996).

Assets and Infrastructure


- Deteriorating permafrost is a concern to assets, infrastructure, and roads in this region (e.g., Oswell and Nixon, 2015; Perreault and Shur, 2016).
- Increased storm intensity and less protective ice cover increases the risk of coastal flooding and erosion. Projected sea level rise (see vertical allowance projection within each site summary) will damage or destroy coastal infrastructure (Lemmen *et al.*, 2016), with associated maintenance or relocation costs.
- Heavy rainfall events may overwhelm drainage system capacities. Changes in spring snowmelt runoff (sooner and quicker) increase stream flow intensity and can cause floods, especially when snowmelt happens before ice-breakup.
- There is an increasing risk to assets and infrastructure by wildfire damage in some areas. More severe fire weather (heat and drought) may also create conditions where fire suppression is no longer feasible or effective (Colombo, 2008; Flannigan *et al.*, 2005).
- The length of season and reliability of winter roads and travel routes will be reduced (Lemmen *et al.*, 2008).

Cultural Resources

- Permafrost thaw can lead to building destabilization (e.g., slumping foundation of Palace Grand Theatre, Laxton and Coates, 2011), more rapid decay of organic building materials, and change in use or abandonment if surrounding grounds become too boggy (e.g., Blankholm, 2009; Grossi *et al.*, 2007; Marissa *et al.*, 2016).
- Increased damage or loss of cultural resources is possible during and post- flood, storm surge, and wildfire events (Marissa *et al.*, 2016). These concerns extend to Pleistocene fossils in Vuntut NP and other parks as well (Parks Canada, 2010; Scott and Suffling, 2000).
- Efforts to FireSmart (e.g., replace wood shake roofing) may influence the character or cultural integrity of a facility (Marissa *et al.*, 2016).
- There is a potential for increased deterioration of facilities and collections (e.g., non-mechanically ventilated interiors, HVACs) from increased temperature, humidity, and precipitation, e.g., increased mold, rot and fungal decay; increased corrosion, etc... (Brimblecombe, 2014; Brimblecombe and Brimblecombe, 2016; Horowitz *et al.*, 2016; Marissa *et al.*, 2016).
- Coastal erosion plays both a disturbance and discovery role with archaeological sites, raising fundamental issues about salvage, identification, protection and site management.
- Socio-economic impacts through loss or damage to cultural resources may occur.
- Longer growing seasons and warmer conditions may lead to increased presence and abundance of invasive plant species and pests (Marissa *et al.*, 2016).

IDF Graph: Intensity – Gumbel – T: 100 Years

Station: INUVIK A ID:2202570, Model: All Models, projection period: 2060 to 2100



IDF Graph: Intensity – Gumbel – T: 100 Years

Station: FORT SIMPSON A ID:2202101, Model: All Models, projection period: 2060 to 2100




Figure 8. Example of rainfall intensity-duration-frequency curves for future climate scenarios. As illustrated, rainfall intensity for the “1 in a 100 year” event at Inuvik (Ivvavik NP) from 60mm/hr to as much as 84mm/hr (5 minute duration) and at Fort Simpson (Nahanni NPR) is projected to increase from 165mm/hr to as much as 215mm/hr (5 minute duration). The IDF_CC Tool (<https://www.idf-cc-uwo.ca>) permits user driven analysis of future projections for climatological stations across the country.

3. Climate Change Actions

In general, most protected area agencies in Canada are only beginning to consider and develop climate change policies, planning tools, and management frameworks (Lemieux *et al.*, 2011) (note: a draft Parks Canada climate change strategy, version 4.4, was last revised in 2008). There are, however, examples of adaptation and mitigation actions already underway in individual sites and within other jurisdictions that may inspire and guide actions (e.g., Gross *et al.*, 2016; Lemieux *et al.*, 2010; US NPS, 2010).

3.1 Adaptation

Adaptation is an adjustment in natural or human systems in response to actual or expected climate change impacts. Adaptation in protected areas often involves the implementation of established ecosystem-based management practices. These actions can represent “**no regrets**” since they broadly benefit the ecological and commemorative integrity at a site, regardless of the rate of climate change. This includes working with regional partners to manage landscape level disturbances; protecting and restoring ecosystems to build resilience; preventing the spread of invasive species; protecting species at risk; conserving built heritage at historic sites; replacing storm damaged resources and infrastructure with more sustainable and resilient designs; and, responding to changing visitor interests and needs.

Regional Adaptation Resources:

- Both Whitehorse and Dawson City have developed climate change adaptation plans (Hennessey *et al.*, 2011; Hennessey and Streicker, 2011), which include a wide range of actions from improved emergency preparedness, road construction techniques to adapt to thawing permafrost, to species conservation strategies.
- The Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium (PCIC) provides an on-line “Regional Analysis Tool” for the Pacific and Yukon Region (<https://www.pacificclimate.org/analysis-tools>), and they have prepared climate change summary reports for specific sites, including Whitehorse and Dawson City (Werner *et al.*, 2009; Werner and Murdock, 2008).
- The Northern Climate ExChange provides climate change information for the region (https://www.yukoncollege.yk.ca/research/programs/northern_climate_exchange). For example, they maintain a compendium of climate change science activities in the Yukon (Northern Climate ExChange, 2014).
- The Changing Cold Regions Network provides cryospheric and hydrological information for the region (<http://www.ccrnetwork.ca/>) and has recently summarized their activities in a report to Parks Canada (CCRN, 2017).
- The “Arctic Resilience Report” (Arctic Council, 2016) provides adaptation examples and case studies.
- Pearce *et al.* (2011) reviewed climate change vulnerability in the Inuvialuit Settlement Region of the western Arctic, providing insights to advance adaptation planning.
- Rowland *et al.* (2016) discusses the importance of maintaining connectivity across protected areas in Yukon.






Figure 9. Greenhouse Gas Emissions per Field Unit. Emissions are calculated from energy (e.g., heating, electricity) and fuel expenditures, excluding travel, air charters, and some other sources. GHG emissions from energy cost are adjusted for source (e.g., coal, hydro, diesel, etc...). The targets in these figures are from 2015 reduction targets (Parks Canada, 2015). Revised, lower targets to be communicated in 2017 (Canada, 2016).



3.2 Mitigation

Mitigation refers to human interventions that reduce the source or enhance the sinks of greenhouse gas emissions. Carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, water vapour and ozone are the primary GHGs in the earth's atmosphere, in addition to human-made chlorine- and bromine-containing substances. Parks Canada's Asset and Environmental Management team provides national functional leadership, expertise and support related to GHG reductions. Sites interested in working on GHG reduction and more sustainable operations should confer with this team. As an example, this team annually tracks all Field Unit energy expenditures and GHG emissions and reports progress towards federal government reduction targets. The current target is 40% reduction in GHG emissions from federal buildings and fleets below the 2005 levels by 2030 (Canada, 2016); it is clear that this will require an ambitious and concerted effort on the part of all.

Mitigation examples include:

- Evaluate progress towards Parks Canada / Field Unit GHG reduction targets.
- Specify "green" and energy efficient designs for construction and renovation projects.
- Reduce the number and/or size of park vehicles and vessels to match need and maximize efficiency. Provide hybrid or electric where possible.
- Electric utility and lawn vehicles for campground maintenance.
- Anti-idling and cabin heat-recovery systems in trucks.

- Use energy efficient products, promote energy efficiency and water conservation, reduce waste and support alternative transportation.
- Review Parks Canada (2015) and US NPS (2012a).

3.3 Possible Next Steps

This report is intended to be a stepping off point, from here individuals and sites are encourage to consider how best to advance climate change actions in their own context. Here is a checklist of ideas that others have considered that may be of particular interest or relevance.

- ☑ Enhance workforce climate literacy (e.g., Peterson *et al.*, 2011; US NPS, 2012b).
- ☑ Undertake more detailed analysis of climate trends, including impact models (e.g., hydrology, wildfire, infrastructure at risk, coastal visualization) and extreme weather events (e.g., Charron, 2016).
- ☑ Conduct future scenario planning and explore operations under novel and equally plausible future conditions. Use scenarios to test (“wind tunnel”) strategic decisions and inform contingency plans (e.g., Gross *et al.*, 2016; US NPS, 2013).
- ☑ Conduct vulnerability assessments of species, ecosystems or governance structures. Vulnerability is the degree to which a system is susceptible to, and unable to cope with, the impacts of climate change (e.g., Edwards *et al.*, 2015; Gleeson *et al.*, 2011; Gross *et al.*, 2016).
- ☑ Incorporate climate change impacts and adaptation strategies into management planning. Recalibrate management objectives in the face of ecosystem change, system novelty and loss of resilience (e.g., Lemieux *et al.*, 2011).
- ☑ Revise visitor management and operational plans in response to changing visitor patterns and use (e.g., Fisichelli *et al.*, 2015; Hewer *et al.*, 2016). Diversify visitor experiences to provide alternatives to weather-dependent activities.
- ☑ Quantify and understand carbon stocks and dynamics (e.g., US NPS, 2012a).
- ☑ Evaluate and communicate the value of ecological goods and services (e.g., clean water/air, provision of food, maintain biodiversity, nature-based tourism, carbon storage, etc...) and manage for the sustainability of these services (e.g., Gross *et al.*, 2016).
- ☑ Maintain a list of climate science and management actions to help inform and influence park messaging.
- ☑ Build networks and collaborate across multiple scales (e.g., Waterton Lakes NP, crownmanagers.org).
- ☑ “FireSmart” facilities and infrastructure (Hirsch *et al.*, 2001).

- ☑ Consider the Public Infrastructure Engineering Vulnerability Committee (PIEVC) protocol (<https://pievc.ca/protocol>) in climate change vulnerable infrastructure projects.
- ☑ “Explicitly recognize climate change as a management issue in state of the park reporting and monitoring frameworks”. This adaption option was one of two from 165 options deemed as necessary and “definitely implementable” by senior decision-makers for the Ontario park system (Lemieux and Scott, 2011).

Please contact the Office of the Chief Ecosystem Scientist if you have any questions or would like to explore any of these next steps further. In addition, PDF copies of all references and the climate data are freely available upon request.



4. References

- Anderson, E. (2017). *Modelling Changes in Multi-Decadal Streamflow Contributions – Bologna Glacier, Selwyn Mountains, NWT*. (M.Sc.), University of Saskatchewan.
- Arctic Council. (2016). *Arctic Resilience Report*. M. Carson and G. Peterson (eds). Stockholm Environment Institute and Stockholm Resilience Centre, Stockholm.
<https://oaarchive.arctic-council.org/handle/11374/1838>.
- Baltzer, J. L., Veness, T., Chasmer, L. E., Sniderhan, A. E., and Quinton, W. L. (2014). Forests on thawing permafrost: fragmentation, edge effects, and net forest loss. *Global Change Biology*, 20(3), 824-834.
- Batllore, E., Parisien, M.-A., Parks, S. A., Moritz, M. A., and Miller, C. (2017). Potential relocation of climatic environments suggests high rates of climate displacement within the North American protection network. *Global Change Biology*. doi:10.1111/gcb.13663.
- Beltaos, S. and Prowse, T. (2009). River-ice hydrology in a shrinking cryosphere. *Hydrological Processes*, 23(1), 122-144. doi:10.1002/hyp.7165.
- Blankholm, H. P. (2009). Long-Term Research and Cultural Resource Management Strategies in Light of Climate Change and Human Impact. *Arctic Anthropology*, 46(1-2), 17-24.
- Brimblecombe, P. (2014). Refining climate change threats to heritage. *Journal of the Institute of Conservation*, 37(2), 85-93. doi:10.1080/19455224.2014.916226.
- Brimblecombe, P. and Brimblecombe, C. (2016). Climate Change and Non-mechanically Ventilated Historic Interiors. *APT Bulletin*, 47(1), 31-38.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/43799261>
- Canada. (2016). *A Federal Sustainable Development Strategy for Canada 2016–2019*.
<http://fsds-sfdd.ca/index.html#/en/intro/>.
- CCRN. (2017). *Overview of CCRN Activities in Canada's National Parks*. Changing Cold Regions Network. <http://www.ccrnetwork.ca/outputs/reports/index.php>.
- Charron, I. (2016). *A Guidebook on Climate Scenarios: Using Climate Information to Guide Adaptation Research and Decisions, 2016 Edition*. Ouranos.
<https://www.ouranos.ca/publication-scientifique/Guidebook-2016.pdf>.
- Chen, C. C., Jenkins, E., Epp, T., Waldner, C., Curry, P. S., and Soos, C. (2013). Climate Change and West Nile Virus in a Highly Endemic Region of North America. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 10(7), 3052-3071. doi:10.3390/ijerph10073052.
- Chen, I. C., Hill, J. K., Ohlemuller, R., Roy, D. B., and Thomas, C. D. (2011). Rapid Range Shifts of Species Associated with High Levels of Climate Warming. *Science*, 333(6045), 1024-1026. doi:10.1126/science.1206432.
- Cheng, C. S., Lopes, E., Fu, C., and Huang, Z. Y. (2014). Possible Impacts of Climate Change on Wind Gusts under Downscaled Future Climate Conditions: Updated for Canada. *Journal of Climate*, 27(3), 1255-1270. doi:10.1175/jcli-d-13-00020.1.
- Clarke, G. K. C., Jarosch, A. H., Anslow, F. S., Radic, V., and Menounos, B. (2015). Projected deglaciation of western Canada in the twenty-first century. *Nature Geoscience*, 8(5), 372-377. doi:10.1038/ngeo2407.
- Colombo, S. J. (2008). *Ontario's Forests and Forestry in a Changing Climate*. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. <https://www.ontario.ca/document/ontarios-forests-and-forestry-changing-climate>.

- Couture, N. J. and Manson, G. K. (2016). *CanCoast: a tool for helping to assess climate vulnerability*. Natural Resources Canada. Geological Survey of Canada
- Danby, R. K. and Hik, D. S. (2007). Variability, contingency and rapid change in recent subarctic alpine tree line dynamics. *Journal of Ecology*, 95(2), 352-363. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2745.2006.01200.x.
- de Grandpre, I., Fortier, D., and Stephani, E. (2012). Degradation of permafrost beneath a road embankment enhanced by heat advected in groundwater. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 49(8), 953-962. doi:10.1139/e2012-018.
- DeBeer, C. M., Wheater, H. S., Carey, S. K., and Chun, K. P. (2016). Recent climatic, cryospheric, and hydrological changes over the interior of western Canada: a review and synthesis. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 20(4), 1573-1598. doi:10.5194/hess-20-1573-2016.
- Demuth, M. N. and Ednie, M. (2016). *A Glacier Condition and Thresholding Rubric for Use in Assessing Protected Area / Ecosystem Functioning*. Natural Resources Canada, Geological Survey of Canada. <http://geoscan.nrcan.gc.ca/starweb/geoscan/servlet.starweb?path=geoscan/fulle.web&search1=R=297892>.
- Derksen, C. and Brown, R. (2012). Spring snow cover extent reductions in the 2008-2012 period exceeding climate model projections. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39, 6. doi:10.1029/2012gl053387.
- Derksen, C., Smith, S. L., Sharp, M., Brown, L., Howell, S., Copland, L., Mueller, D. R., Gauthier, Y., Fletcher, C. G., Tivy, A., Bernier, M., Bourgeois, J., Brown, R., Burn, C. R., Duguay, C., Kushner, P., Langlois, A., Lewkowicz, A. G., Royer, A., and Walker, A. (2012). Variability and change in the Canadian cryosphere. *Climatic Change*, 115(1), 59-88. doi:10.1007/s10584-012-0470-0.
- Dery, S. J., Stadnyk, T. A., MacDonald, M. K., and Gauli-Sharma, B. (2016). Recent trends and variability in river discharge across northern Canada. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 20(12), 4801-4818. doi:10.5194/hess-20-4801-2016.
- Dibike, Y., Prowse, T., Bonsal, B., de Rham, L., and Saloranta, T. (2012). Simulation of North American lake-ice cover characteristics under contemporary and future climate conditions. *International Journal of Climatology*, 32(5), 695-709. doi:10.1002/joc.2300.
- Dudley, N., Stolton, S., Belokurov, A., Krueger, L., Lopoukhine, N., MacKinnon, K., Sandwith, T., and Sekhran, N. (2010). *Natural Solutions: Protected areas helping people cope with climate change*. IUCN WCPA, TNC, UNDP, WCS, The World Bank and WWF, Gland, Switzerland, Washington DC and New York, USA. <https://www.iucn.org/content/natural-solutions-protected-areas-helping-people-cope-climate-change>.
- Edwards, J. E., Pearce, C., Ogden, A. E., and Williamson, T. B. (2015). *Climate Change and Sustainable Forest Management in Canada : A Guidebook for Assessing Vulnerability and Mainstreaming Adaptation into Decision Making*. Canadian Council of Forest Ministers. <http://www.ccfm.org/english/coreproducts-cc.asp>.
- Fisichelli, N. A., Schuurman, G. W., Monahan, W. B., and Ziesler, P. S. (2015). Protected Area Tourism in a Changing Climate: Will Visitation at US National Parks Warm Up or Overheat? *PLoS ONE*, 10(6), 13. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128226.
- Flannigan, M., Cantin, A. S., de Groot, W. J., Wotton, M., Newbery, A., and Gowman, L. M. (2013). Global wildland fire season severity in the 21st century. *Forest Ecology and Management*. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2012.10.022.

- Flannigan, M. D., Logan, K. A., Amiro, B. D., Skinner, W. R., and Stocks, B. J. (2005). Future Area Burned in Canada. *Climatic Change*, 72(1), 1-16. doi:10.1007/s10584-005-5935-y.
- Flowers, G. E., Copland, L., and Schoof, C. G. (2014). Contemporary Glacier Processes and Global Change: Recent Observations from Kaskawulsh Glacier and the Donjek Range, St. Elias Mountains. *Arctic*, 67, 22-34.
- Galbraith, H., DesRochers, D. W., Brown, S., and Reed, J. M. (2014). Predicting Vulnerabilities of North American Shorebirds to Climate Change. *PLoS ONE*, 9(9), 14. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108899.
- Gleeson, J., Gray, P., Douglas, A., Lemieux, C. J., and Nielsen, G. (2011). *A Practitioner's Guide to Climate Change Adaptation in Ontario's Ecosystems*. Ontario Centre for Climate Impacts and Adaptation Resources, Sudbury, Ontario.
http://www.mnr.gov.on.ca/en/Business/ClimateChange/Publication/STDPROD_091282.html.
- Grabowski, M. M., Doyle, F. I., Reid, D. G., Mossop, D., and Talarico, D. (2013). Do Arctic-nesting birds respond to earlier snowmelt? A multi-species study in north Yukon, Canada. *Polar Biology*, 36(8), 1097-1105. doi:10.1007/s00300-013-1332-6.
- Gross, J. E., Woodley, S., Welling, L. A., and Watson, J. E. M. (Eds.). (2016). *Adapting to Climate Change: Guidance for Protected Area Managers and Planners* (Vol. No. 24). Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. <https://portals.iucn.org/library/node/46685>.
- Grossi, C. M., Brimblecombe, P., and Harris, I. (2007). Predicting long term freeze-thaw risks on Europe built heritage and archaeological sites in a changing climate. *Science of the Total Environment*, 377(2-3), 273-281. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.02.014.
- Haughian, S. R., Burton, P. J., Taylor, S. W., and Curry, C. (2012). Expected Effects of Climate Change on Forest Disturbance Regimes in British Columbia. *Journal of Ecosystems and Management*, 12(1). <http://jem.forrex.org/index.php/jem/article/view/152>
- Hennessey, R., Jones, S., Swales, S., and Duerden, F. (2011). *Dawson Climate Change Adaptation Plan, Revised Edition*. Northern Climate ExChange, Yukon Research Centre, Yukon College, Whitehorse.
http://www.yukoncollege.yk.ca/downloads/Dawson_CAP_final_2011.pdf.
- Hennessey, R. and Streicker, J. (2011). *Whitehorse Climate Change Adaptation Plan*. Northern Climate ExChange, Yukon Research Centre, Yukon College, Whitehorse, YT.
http://www.yukoncollege.yk.ca/downloads/Whitehorse_CAP_Plan_FINAL.pdf.
- Hewer, M., Scott, D., and Fenech, A. (2016). Seasonal weather sensitivity, temperature thresholds, and climate change impacts for park visitation. *Tourism Geographies*, 18(3), 297-321. doi:10.1080/14616688.2016.1172662.
- Hirsch, K., Kafka, V., Tymstra, C., McAlpine, R., Hawkes, B., Stegehuis, H., Quintilio, S., Gauthier, S., and Peck, K. (2001). Fire-smart forest management: A pragmatic approach to sustainable forest management in fire-dominated ecosystems. *Forestry Chronicle*, 77(2), 357-363. doi:10.5558/tfc77357-2.
- Hone, J., Krebs, C. J., and O'Donoghue, M. (2011). Is the relationship between predator and prey abundances related to climate for lynx and snowshoe hares? *Wildlife Research*, 38(5), 419-425. doi:10.1071/wr11009.
- Horowitz, A. D., Lopez, M. F., Ross, S. M., and Sparenberg, J. A. (2016). *Climate Change and Cultural Heritage Conservation. A Literature Review*. Association for Preservation Technology (APT), Technical Committee on Sustainable Preservation's Education and Research focus group.

- <http://www.apti.org/clientuploads/Technical%20Committees/2015-2016/APT%20TC-SP%20Literature%20Review%20Climate%20Change%20%20Cultural%20Heritage%20Conservation%202016June30.pdf>.
- IPCC. (2014). *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The Summary for Policymakers of the Working Group II contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report. <http://www.ipcc.ch/index.htm>.
- Jacobs, J. D., Chan, S., and Sutton, E. (2014). Climatology of the Forest-Tundra Ecotone at a Maritime Subarctic-Alpine Site, Mealy Mountains, Labrador. *Arctic*, 67(1), 28-42.
- James, T. S., Henton, J. A., Leonard, L. J., Darlington, A., Forbes, D. L., and Craymer, M. (2014). *Relative Sea-level Projections in Canada and the Adjacent Mainland United States*. Natural Resources Canada, Geological Survey of Canada, Ottawa, Ontario. http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2016/rncan-nrcan/M183-2-7737-eng.pdf.
- Knudsen, E., Linden, A., Both, C., Jonzen, N., Pulido, F., Saino, N., Sutherland, W. J., Bach, L. A., Coppack, T., Ergon, T., Gienapp, P., Gill, J. A., Gordo, O., Hedenstroom, A., Lehikoinen, E., Marra, P. P., Moller, A. P., Nilsson, A. L. K., Peron, G., Ranta, E., Rubolini, D., Sparks, T. H., Spina, F., Studds, C. E., Saether, S. A., Tryjanowski, P., and Stenseth, N. C. (2011). Challenging claims in the study of migratory birds and climate change. *Biological Reviews*, 86(4), 928-946. doi:10.1111/j.1469-185X.2011.00179.x.
- Kulkarni, M. A., Berrang-Ford, L., Buck, P. A., Drebot, M. A., Lindsay, L. R., and Ogden, N. H. (2015). Major emerging vector-borne zoonotic diseases of public health importance in Canada. *Emerging Microbes & Infections*, 4, 7. doi:10.1038/emi.2015.33.
- Lafleur, B., Pare, D., Munson, A. D., and Bergeron, Y. (2010). Response of northeastern North American forests to climate change: Will soil conditions constrain tree species migration? *Environmental Reviews*, 18, 279-289. doi:10.1139/a10-013.
- Langor, D. W., Cameron, E. K., MacQuarrie, C. J. K., McBeath, A., McClay, A., Peter, B., Pybus, M., Ramsfield, T., Ryall, K., Scarr, T., Yemshanov, D., DeMerchant, I., Footitt, R., and Pohl, G. R. (2014). Non-native species in Canada's boreal zone: diversity, impacts, and risk. *Environmental Reviews*, 22(4), 372-+. doi:10.1139/er-2013-0083.
- Lawler, J. J., Shafer, S. L., White, D., Kareiva, P., Maurer, E. P., Blaustein, A. R., and Bartlein, P. J. (2009). Projected climate-induced faunal change in the Western Hemisphere. *Ecology*, 90(3), 588-597. doi:10.1890/08-0823.1.
- Laxton, S. and Coates, J. (Eds.). (2011). *Geophysical and borehole investigations of permafrost conditions associated with compromised infrastructure in Dawson and Ross River, Yukon*. Whitehorse, YK: Yukon Geological Survey
- Lemieux, C. J., Beechey, T. J., and Gray, P. A. (2011). Prospects for Canada's protected areas in an era of rapid climate change. *Land Use Policy*, 28, 928– 941. doi:doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2011.03.008.
- Lemieux, C. J., Beechey, T. J., Scott, D. J., and Gray, P. A. (2010). *Protected Areas and Climate Change in Canada - Challenges and Opportunities for Adaptation*. Canadian Council on Ecological Areas (CCEA). http://ceea.org/Downloads/en_papers_occasional19.pdf.
- Lemieux, C. J. and Scott, D. J. (2011). Changing Climate, Challenging Choices: Identifying and Evaluating Climate Change Adaptation Options for Protected Areas Management in Ontario, Canada. *Environmental management*, 48(4), 675-690. doi:10.1007/s00267-011-9700-x.
- Lemmen, D. S., Warren, F. J., James, T. S., and Mercer Clarke, C. S. L. (Eds.). (2016). *Canada's Marine Coasts in a Changing Climate*. Ottawa, ON: Government of Canada.

- <http://www.nrcan.gc.ca/environment/resources/publications/impacts-adaptation/reports/assessments/2016/18388>.
- Lemmen, D. S., Warren, F. J., Lacroix, J., and Bush, E. (Eds.). (2008). *From Impacts to Adaptation: Canada in a Changing Climate 2007*. Ottawa: Government of Canada. https://www.nrcan.gc.ca/sites/www.nrcan.gc.ca/files/earthsciences/pdf/assess/2007/pdf/full-complet_e.pdf.
- Leung, M. C. and Reid, D. G. (2013). New species records for butterflies (Lepidoptera) on Herschel Island, Yukon, Canada, with notes on natural history. *Canadian Entomologist*, 145(2), 227-234. doi:10.4039/tce.2013.15.
- Lindsay, K., Gobeil, J.-F., Lawler, J. L., Schloss, C., Beazley, K. F., and Beechey, T. J. (2016). *Wildlife Conservation, Protected Areas and Climate Change in Canada: Implications of Projected Species Range Shifts*. Canadian Council on Ecological Areas, CCEA Secretariat, Ottawa, Ontario. http://www.ccea.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/CCEA_WildlifeConservationProtectedAreasandClimateChangeinCanada_Digital.pdf.
- Lopoukhine, N., Crawhall, N., Dudley, N., Figgis, P., Karibuhoye, C., Laffoley, D., Miranda Londoño, J., MacKinnon, K., and Sandwith, T. (2012). Protected areas: providing natural solutions to 21st Century challenges. *S.A.P.I.EN.S*, 5.2. <https://sapiens.revues.org/1254>
- Lyon, S. W. and Destouni, G. (2010). Changes in Catchment-Scale Recession Flow Properties in Response to Permafrost Thawing in the Yukon River Basin. *International Journal of Climatology*, 30(14), 2138-2145. doi:10.1002/joc.1993.
- Marcogliese, D. J. (2008). The impact of climate change on the parasites and infectious diseases of aquatic animals. *Revue Scientifique Et Technique-Office International Des Epizooties*, 27(2), 467-484.
- Marcogliese, D. J. (2016). The Distribution and Abundance of Parasites in Aquatic Ecosystems in a Changing Climate: More than Just Temperature. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 56(4), 611-619. doi:10.1093/icb/icw036.
- Marissa, M., Rockman, M., Smith, C., and Meadow, A. (2016). *Climate Change Impacts on Cultural Resources*. Cultural Resources Partnerships and Science, United States National Park Service (US NPS), Washington, DC. <https://www.nps.gov/subjects/climatechange/culturalimpactstable.htm>.
- McKenney, D. W., Pedlar, J. H., Lawrence, K., Campbell, K., and Hutchinson, M. F. (2007). Potential impacts of climate change on the distribution of North American trees. *BioScience*, 57(11), 939-948. doi:10.1641/b571106.
- McKenney, D. W., Pedlar, J. H., Rood, R. B., and Price, D. (2011). Revisiting projected shifts in the climate envelopes of North American trees using updated general circulation models. *Global Change Biology*, 17(8), 2720-2730. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02413.x.
- McLennan, D. S., Bell, T., Berteaux, D., Chen, W., Copland, L., Fraser, R., Gallant, D., Gauthier, G., Hik, D., Krebs, C. J., Myers-Smith, I. H., Olthof, I., Reid, D., Sladen, W., Tarnocai, C., Vincent, W. F., and Zhang, Y. (2012). Recent climate-related terrestrial biodiversity research in Canada's Arctic national parks: review, summary, and management implications. *Biodiversity*, 13(3-4), 157-173.
- Michalak, A. M. (2016). Study role of climate change in extreme threats to water quality. *Nature*, 535(7612), 349-350.
- Moore, R. D., Fleming, S. W., Menounos, B., Wheate, R., Fountain, A., Stahl, K., Holm, K., and Jakob, M. (2009). Glacier change in western North America: influences on hydrology,

- geomorphic hazards and water quality. *Hydrological Processes*, 23(1), 42-61.
doi:10.1002/hyp.7162.
- Myers-Smith, I. H., Forbes, B. C., Wilmking, M., Hallinger, M., Lantz, T., Blok, D., Tape, K. D., Macias-Fauria, M., Sass-Klaassen, U., Lévesque, E., Boudreau, S., Ropars, P., Hermanutz, L., Trant, A., Collier, L. S., Weijers, S., Rozema, J., Rayback, S. A., Schmidt, N. M., Schaepman-Strub, G., Wipf, S., Rixen, C., Ménard, C. B., Venn, S., Goetz, S., Andreu-Hayles, L., Elmendorf, S., Ravolainen, V., Welker, J., Grogan, P., Epstein, H. E., and Hik, D. S. (2011). Shrub expansion in tundra ecosystems: dynamics, impacts and research priorities. *Environmental Research Letters*, 6(4), 045509.
doi:10.1088/1748-9326/6/4/045509.
- Nantel, P., Pellatt, M. G., Keenleyside, K. A., and Gray, P. A. (2014). Biodiversity and Protected Areas. In F. J. Warren and D. S. Lemmen (Eds.), *Canada in a Changing Climate: Sector Perspectives on Impacts and Adaptation* (pp. 159-190). Ottawa, ON: Government of Canada. <http://www.nrcan.gc.ca/environment/resources/publications/impacts-adaptation/reports/assessments/2014/16309>.
- National Audubon Society. (2015). *Audubon's Birds and Climate Change Report: A Primer for Practitioners*. National Audubon Society. Contributors: Gary Langham, Justin Schuetz, Candan Soykan, Chad Wilsey, Tom Auer, Geoff LeBaron, Connie Sanchez, Trish Distler, New York. <http://www.audubon.org/conservation/climate-change>.
- NAWPA. (2012). *North American Protected Areas as Natural Solutions for Climate Change*. North American Intergovernmental Committee on Cooperation for Wilderness and Protected Area Conservation. <http://nawpacommittee.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/08/NAWPA-CCWG-Brochure.pdf>.
- Nituch, L. A. and Bowman, J. (2013). *Community-Level Effects of Climate Change on Ontario's Terrestrial Biodiversity*. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. http://www.climateontario.ca/MNR_Publications/CCRR-36.pdf.
- Northern Climate ExChange. (2014). *Compendium of Yukon Climate Change Science 2003 - 2013*. Yukon Research Centre, Yukon College. <http://www.yukoncollege.yk.ca/research/abstracts/compendium>.
- Noyes, P. D., McElwee, M. K., Miller, H. D., Clark, B. W., Van Tiem, L. A., Walcott, K. C., Erwin, K. N., and Levin, E. D. (2009). The toxicology of climate change: Environmental contaminants in a warming world. *Environment International*, 35(6), 971-986.
doi:10.1016/j.envint.2009.02.006.
- Oswell, J. M. and Nixon, J. F. (2015). Thermal Design Considerations for Raised Structures on Permafrost. *Journal of Cold Regions Engineering*, 29(1), 13. doi:10.1061/(asce)cr.1943-5495.0000075.
- Parks Canada. (2010). *Vuntut National Park of Canada Management Plan*. <http://www.pc.gc.ca/en/pn-np/yt/vuntut/info/plan/res-sum>.
- Parks Canada. (2015). *Master Plan For the Reduction of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions within Parks Canada's Operations*. http://intranet2/media/2163749/master_plan_for_ghg_reduction_2015.pdf
- Pearce, T., Ford, J. D., Duerden, F., Smit, B., Andrachuk, M., Berrang-Ford, L., and Smith, T. (2011). Advancing adaptation planning for climate change in the Inuvialuit Settlement Region (ISR): a review and critique. *Regional Environmental Change*, 11(1), 1-17.
doi:10.1007/s10113-010-0126-4.

- Pecl, G. T., Araújo, M. B., Bell, J. D., Blanchard, J., Bonebrake, T. C., Chen, I.-C., Clark, T. D., Colwell, R. K., Danielsen, F., Evengård, B., Falconi, L., Ferrier, S., Frusher, S., Garcia, R. A., Griffis, R. B., Hobday, A. J., Janion-Scheepers, C., Jarzyna, M. A., Jennings, S., Lenoir, J., Linnetved, H. I., Martin, V. Y., McCormack, P. C., McDonald, J., Mitchell, N. J., Mustonen, T., Pandolfi, J. M., Pettoirelli, N., Popova, E., Robinson, S. A., Scheffers, B. R., Shaw, J. D., Sorte, C. J. B., Strugnelli, J. M., Sunday, J. M., Tuanmu, M.-N., Vergés, A., Villanueva, C., Wernberg, T., Wapstra, E., and Williams, S. E. (2017). Biodiversity redistribution under climate change: Impacts on ecosystems and human well-being. *Science*, 355(6332). doi:10.1126/science.aai9214.
- Perreault, P. and Shur, Y. (2016). Seasonal thermal insulation to mitigate climate change impacts on foundations in permafrost regions. *Cold Regions Science and Technology*, 132, 7-18. doi:10.1016/j.coldregions.2016.09.008.
- Peterson, D. L., Millar, C. I., Joyce, L. A., Furniss, M. J., Halofsky, J. E., Neilson, R. P., and Morelli, T. L. (2011). *Responding to climate change in national forests: a guidebook for developing adaptation options*. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR. <https://www.treeseearch.fs.fed.us/pubs/39884>.
- Pickles, R. S. A., Thornton, D., Feldman, R., Marques, A., and Murray, D. L. (2013). Predicting shifts in parasite distribution with climate change: a multitrophic level approach. *Global Change Biology*, 19(9), 2645-2654. doi:10.1111/gcb.12255.
- Price, D. T., McKenney, D. W., Joyce, L. A., Siltanen, R. M., Papadopol, P., and Lawrence, K. (2011). *High-Resolution Interpolation of Climate Scenarios for Canada Derived from General Circulation Model Simulations*. Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Northern Forestry Centre, Edmonton, Alberta. <http://cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/publications?id=32971>.
- Prowse, T. (2012). Lake and River Ice in Canada. In H. French and O. Slaymaker (Eds.), *Changing Cold Environments: A Canadian Perspective, 1st Edition* (pp. 163-181): John Wiley & Sons.
- Prowse, T. D., Furgal, C., Wrona, F. J., and Reist, J. D. (2009). Implications of Climate Change for Northern Canada: Freshwater, Marine, and Terrestrial Ecosystems. *Ambio*, 38(5), 282-289.
- R Core Team. (2014). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. <http://www.R-project.org>
- Rood, S. B., Kaluthota, S., Philipsen, L. J., Rood, N. J., and Zanewich, K. P. (2017). Increasing discharge from the Mackenzie River system to the Arctic Ocean. *Hydrological Processes*, 31(1), 150-160. doi:10.1002/hyp.10986.
- Rowland, E. L., Fresco, N., Reid, D., and Cooke, H. A. (2016). Examining climate-biome (“cliome”) shifts for Yukon and its protected areas. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 8(Complete), 1-17. doi:10.1016/j.gecco.2016.07.006.
- Schock, D. M., Ruthig, G. R., Collins, J. P., Kutz, S. J., Carriere, S., Gau, R. J., Veitch, A. M., Larter, N. C., Tate, D. P., Guthrie, G., Allaire, D. G., and Popko, R. A. (2010). Amphibian chytrid fungus and ranaviruses in the Northwest Territories, Canada. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 92(2-3), 231-240. doi:10.3354/dao02134.
- Scott, D., Malcolm, J. R., and Lemieux, C. (2002). Climate change and modelled biome representation in Canada's national park system: implications for system planning and park mandates. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 11(6), 475-484. doi:10.1046/j.1466-822X.2002.00308.x.

- Scott, D. and Suffling, R. (2000). *Climate Change and Canada's National Park System: A screening level assessment*. Adaptation and Impacts Research Group, Environment Canada, and University of Waterloo.
<http://publications.gc.ca/site/eng/249276/publication.html>.
- Segal, R. A., Lantz, T. C., and Kokelj, S. V. (2016). Acceleration of thaw slump activity in glaciated landscapes of the Western Canadian Arctic. *Environmental Research Letters*, 11(3), 12. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/3/034025.
- Seidl, R., Thom, D., Kautz, M., Martin-Benito, D., Peltoniemi, M., Vacchiano, G., Wild, J., Ascoli, D., Petr, M., Honkaniemi, J., Lexer, M. J., Trotsiuk, V., Mairota, P., Svoboda, M., Fabrika, M., Nagel, T. A., and Reyer, C. P. O. (2017). Forest disturbances under climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 7, 395–402. doi:10.1038/nclimate3303.
- Smith, S. (2010). *Trends in permafrost conditions and ecology in northern Canada*. Canadian Councils of Resource Ministers, Ottawa, ON. http://www.biodivcanada.ca/3ED0C589-F00E-40D3-A9D3-4D4DEF1A8E7E/2816No.9_Permafrost_November%202011_E.pdf.
- Staple, T. and Wall, G. (1996). Climate change and recreation in Nahanni National Park Reserve. *Canadian Geographer-Geographe Canadien*, 40(2), 109-120. doi:10.1111/j.1541-0064.1996.tb00439.x.
- Streicker, J. (2016). *Yukon Climate Change Indicators and Key Findings 2015*. Northern Climate ExChange, Yukon Research Centre, Yukon College.
http://www.yukoncollege.yk.ca/downloads/Indicator_Report_Final_web.pdf.
- Turner, K. W. (2013). *Investigating the Hydrology of a Thermokarst Landscape (Old Crow Flats, Yukon, Canada) Using Water Isotope Tracers*. (NR94203 Ph.D.), Wilfrid Laurier University (Canada), Ann Arbor. ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Full Text database.
- US NPS. (2010). *National Park Service Climate Change Response Strategy*. National Park Service Climate Change Response Program, U.S. Department of the Interior, Fort Collins, Colorado. <http://www.nps.gov/subjects/climatechange/resources.htm>.
- US NPS. (2012a). *Green Parks Plan. Advancing Our Mission through Sustainable Operations*.
<https://www.nps.gov/greenparksplan/>.
- US NPS. (2012b). *National Park Service Climate Change Action Plan 2012-2014*. National Park Service Climate Change Response Program, U.S. Department of the Interior, Fort Collins, Colorado. <http://www.nps.gov/subjects/climatechange/resources.htm>.
- US NPS. (2013). *Using Scenarios to Explore Climate Change: A Handbook for Practitioners*. National Park Service Climate Change Response Program. Fort Collins, Colorado.
<http://www.nps.gov/subjects/climatechange/upload/CCScenariosHandbookJuly2013.pdf>.
- Vincent, L. A., Wang, X. L., Milewska, E. J., Wan, H., Yang, F., and Swail, V. (2012). A second generation of homogenized Canadian monthly surface air temperature for climate trend analysis. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 117(D18), n/a-n/a. doi:10.1029/2012JD017859.
- Vincent, L. A., Zhang, X., Brown, R. D., Feng, Y., Mekis, E., Milewska, E. J., Wan, H., and Wang, X. L. (2015). Observed Trends in Canada's Climate and Influence of Low-Frequency Variability Modes. *Journal of Climate*, 28(11), 4545-4560. doi:10.1175/jcli-d-14-00697.1.
- Vuuren, D., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., Hurtt, G., Kram, T., Krey, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Masui, T., Meinshausen, M., Nakicenovic, N., Smith, S., and Rose, S. (2011). The representative concentration pathways: an overview. *Climatic Change*, 109(1-2), 5-31. doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0148-z.

- Walther, G. R., Roques, A., Hulme, P. E., Sykes, M. T., Pysek, P., Kuhn, I., Zobel, M., Bacher, S., Botta-Dukat, Z., Bugmann, H., Czucz, B., Dauber, J., Hickler, T., Jarosik, V., Kenis, M., Klotz, S., Minchin, D., Moora, M., Nentwig, W., Ott, J., Panov, V. E., Reineking, B., Robinet, C., Semenchenko, V., Solarz, W., Thuiller, W., Vila, M., Vohland, K., and Settele, J. (2009). Alien species in a warmer world: risks and opportunities. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 24(12), 686-693. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2009.06.008.
- Walvoord, M. A. and Kurylyk, B. L. (2016). Hydrologic Impacts of Thawing Permafrost-A Review. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 15(6), 20. doi:10.2136/vzj2016.01.0010.
- Wang, X. L., Thompson, D. K., Marshall, G. A., Tymstra, C., Carr, R., and Flannigan, M. D. (2015). Increasing frequency of extreme fire weather in Canada with climate change. *Climatic Change*, 130(4), 573-586. doi:10.1007/s10584-015-1375-5.
- Warren, F. J. and Lemmen, D. S. (Eds.). (2014). *Canada in a Changing Climate: Sector Perspectives on Impacts and Adaptation*. Ottawa, ON: Government of Canada. http://www.nrcan.gc.ca/sites/www.nrcan.gc.ca/files/earthsciences/pdf/assess/2014/pdf/FuII-Report_Eng.pdf.
- Warren, R., VanDerWal, J., Price, J., Welbergen, J. A., Atkinson, I., Ramirez-Villegas, J., Osborn, T. J., Jarvis, A., Shoo, L. P., Williams, S. E., and Lowe, J. (2013). Quantifying the benefit of early climate change mitigation in avoiding biodiversity loss. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(7), 678-682. doi:10.1038/nclimate1887.
- Weed, A. S., Ayres, M. P., and Hicke, J. A. (2013). Consequences of climate change for biotic disturbances in North American forests. *Ecological Monographs*, 83(4), 441-470. doi:10.1890/13-0160.1.
- Werner, A. T., Jaswal, H. K., and Murdock, T. Q. (2009). *Climate Change in Dawson City, YT: Summary of Past Trends and Future Projections*. Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium (PCIC). <https://www.pacificclimate.org/resources/publications?keys=climate+summary>.
- Werner, T. and Murdock, T. Q. (2008). *Changes in Past Hydro-Climatology and Projected Future Change for the City of Whitehorse: Summary Report*. Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium (PCIC), Victoria, Canada. <https://www.pacificclimate.org/sites/default/files/publications/Werner.HydroClimatologyWhitehorse.Jan2008.pdf>.
- Wrona, F. J., Johansson, M., Culp, J. M., Jenkins, A., Mard, J., Myers-Smith, I. H., Prowse, T. D., Vincent, W. F., and Wookey, P. A. (2016). Transitions in Arctic ecosystems: Ecological implications of a changing hydrological regime. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences*, 121(3), 650-674. doi:10.1002/2015jg003133.
- WWF. (2016). *Living Planet Report 2016. Risk and resilience in a new era*. WWF International, Gland, Switzerland. http://wwf.panda.org/about_our_earth/all_publications/lpr_2016/.
- Zhang, Y., Wang, X., Fraser, R., Olthof, I., Chen, W., McLennan, D., Ponomarenko, S., and Wu, W. (2013). Modelling and mapping climate change impacts on permafrost at high spatial resolution for an Arctic region with complex terrain. *Cryosphere*, 7(4), 1121-1137. doi:10.5194/tc-7-1121-2013.

Appendix 1. Climate Change Projections

Climate change summaries were determined for the geo-centroid¹ of each national park and national marine conservation area. The method used to prepare the map followed Price *et al.* (2011) and used greenhouse gas (GHG) concentration scenarios adopted by the IPCC (2014) and General Circulation Models (GCMs)².

Of the four IPCC GHG concentration scenarios, the lowest and highest Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP), RCP 2.6 and RCP 8.5 were chosen. These are named after possible radiative forcing values in the year 2100 relative to pre-industrial values (i.e., +2.6 and +8.5 watts/m², respectively). RCP 2.6 assumes that global annual GHG emissions (measured in CO₂-equivalents) peak in 2010-2020, with emissions declining substantially thereafter. In RCP 8.5, emissions continue to rise throughout the 21st century.

To produce a high-resolution climate map, monthly time-series data were obtained for each GCM representing both the 20th century (1981–2010) and the scenarios of greenhouse gas concentration for the 21st century. Each monthly value at each GCM grid node was normalized either by subtracting (for temperature variables) or dividing by (for other climate variables) the mean of that month's values for the 30-year baseline period 1981–2010. The GCM projected changes in temperature and precipitation were averaged over 30-year periods and then interpolated using ANUSPLIN to the locations of climate stations in Canada and the USA. These data were then combined with observed station normals for the period 1981–2010 to create projected normals for three consecutive 30-year periods: 2011–2040, 2041–2070 and 2071–2100.




Figure A1. Projected change in annual daily minimum temperature for 2041-2070, relative to 1961-1990 (Price *et al.*, 2011).

A Bessel interpolation scheme was used to generate daily temperature and precipitation sequences that pass monotonically through the monthly values. This allowed for a suite of bioclimatic indicator variables to be estimated for these periods, including for example, mean growing season duration and precipitation during the growing season (Table A1). A set of composite maps averaging the values of the four GCMs was created and used to extract the projected climatic data for the parks and NMCAs at each geo-centroid.

No model driven by scenarios of future climate can ever provide definitive answers to questions about specific outcomes (e.g., how much change will occur at a specified location by a specified date?). However, temperature projections aligned with recent trends and there appears

¹ In the cases of parks for which the geo-centroid is located in the sea, we extracted the data for 5 sets of coordinates determined to be on the land using Google maps.

² CANESM2, CESM1CAM5, HADGEM2ES, and MIROCESM.

to be strong agreement on the magnitude of warming to be expected in the short term (until 2030–2040), independent of the RCP scenarios. This is because much of the warming projected for the next two to three decades is “committed warming” resulting from greenhouse gas emissions that have already occurred. It is only after ca. 2040 that the warming trajectories diverge, when early mitigation efforts (RCP 2.6 scenario) would evidently begin to have a positive effect. Further into the future, the range of possible warming increases, largely because of the divergence among the different greenhouse gas emission trajectories.

Table A1. Bioclimatic variables mapped for past and future climates^a

No.	Variable ^b	Description
1	Annual mean temperature	Annual mean of monthly mean temperatures
2	Mean diurnal temperature range	Annual mean of monthly mean daily temperature ranges
3	Isothermality	Variable 2 ÷ variable 7
4	Temperature seasonality	Standard deviation of monthly mean temperature estimates, expressed as a percentage of their mean
5	Maximum temperature of warmest period	Highest monthly maximum temperature
6	Minimum temperature of coldest period	Lowest monthly minimum temperature
7	Annual temperature range	Variable 5 – variable 6
8	Mean temperature of wettest quarter	Mean temperature of three wettest consecutive months
9	Mean temperature of driest quarter	Mean temperature of three driest consecutive months
10	Mean temperature of warmest quarter	Mean temperature of three warmest months
11	Mean temperature of coldest quarter	Mean temperature of three coldest months
12	Annual precipitation	Sum of monthly precipitation values
13	Precipitation of wettest period	Precipitation of wettest month
14	Precipitation of driest period	Precipitation of driest month
15	Precipitation seasonality	Standard deviation of monthly precipitation estimates, expressed as a percentage of their mean
16	Precipitation of wettest quarter	Total precipitation of three wettest consecutive months
17	Precipitation of driest quarter	Total precipitation of three driest consecutive months
18	Precipitation of warmest quarter	Total precipitation of three warmest months
19	Precipitation of coldest quarter	Total precipitation of three coldest months
20	Start of growing season	Date when daily mean temperature first meets or exceeds 5°C for five consecutive days in spring
21	End of growing season	Date when daily minimum temperature first falls below –2°C after 1 August
22	Growing season length	Variable 21 – variable 20
23	Total precipitation in the three months before start of growing season	Total precipitation in the three months before variable 20
24	Total growing season precipitation	Total precipitation during variable 22
25	Growing degree-days during growing season	Total degree-days during variable 22, accumulated for all days where mean temperature exceeds 5°C
26	Annual minimum temperature	Annual mean of monthly minimum temperatures
27	Annual maximum temperature	Annual mean of monthly maximum temperatures
28	Mean temperature during growing season	Mean temperature during variable 22
29	Temperature range during growing season	Highest minus lowest temperature during variable 22
30	Climate Moisture Index (monthly)	Precipitation minus potential evapotranspiration

^a In all cases, the descriptions should be considered estimates rather than actual values.

^b Variables 1–19 were generated by ANUCLIM; variables 20–29 were generated by SEEDGROW. The approach used creates a daily sequence of minimum and maximum temperature and precipitation, with the values forced monotonically through the monthly values. The resulting values are intended to represent mean conditions only, as the weather in any given year would be expected to produce different results, because of interannual variability.